Crystal Structures of Sodium Sulfate Decahydrate (Glauber's Salt) and Sodium Tetraborate Decahydrate (Borax). Redetermination by Neutron Diffraction*

By Henri A. Levy and George C. Lisensky[†]

Chemistry Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37830, USA

(Received 24 April 1978; accepted 30 May 1978)

Single-crystal neutron-diffraction data with full-matrix least-squares refinements have yielded precise locations for all atoms (for the H atoms in particular), in both compounds. Hydrogen bonding with H atom sites disordered with equal occupancy, as previously postulated to be the source of zero-point entropy in the sulfate, is quantitatively verified. A thermally excited librational mode of the sulfate ion involving a rearrangement of hydrogen bonding has been found. The two structures, which have closely similar chains of Na ions with coordinated water molecules, are compared in detail. Measures of disagreement are: for the sulfate, $R(F^2) = 0.082$ for $1750 F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$; for the borate, $R(F^2) = 0.060$ for $1837 F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$.

Introduction

The possible utility of certain crystalline hydrate salts as working media for thermal energy storage has stimulated a renewed interest in an understanding of their properties. Reported here are results of a reexamination, by single-crystal neutron diffraction, of the structures of sodium sulfate decahydrate (Glauber's salt) and of sodium tetraborate decahydrate (borax). Both were previously studied by X-ray diffraction, the former by Ruben, Templeton, Rosenstein & Olovsson (1961) and the latter by Morimoto (1956). The present work, in addition to confirming fully the conclusions of both earlier studies, provides accurate locations for the H atoms, improved precision for the remaining atoms, and a full description of the thermal displacements. In the case of the sulfate, an alternative arrangement of hydrogen bonds associated with the excitation of a librational mode of the sulfate ion has been discovered. A detailed comparison is made of the sodium-water chains existing in the two salts, the close similarity of which seems to be the basis for the utility of borax as a nucleating agent for the crystallization of Glauber's salt from aqueous solution (Telkes, 1952). The hypothesis of Ruben et al. (1961) concerning disorder of H atoms in the sulfate structure, which accounts for the residual entropy, is confirmed.

Experimental

Specimens of both compounds were grown from aqueous solutions by slow evaporation, and crystal

quality was checked by X-ray precession photography. Cell parameters were determined by least-squares refinement from the first moments of neutron diffractometer 2θ scans recorded at $23 \cdot 5$ °C using a wavelength of 1.0155 Å, based on a (NaCl) = 5.6403 Å. This value was determined by X-ray diffraction using Cu Ka radiation, $\lambda = 1.54051$ Å, on the same specimen used to establish the neutron wavelength.

Crystal data

Na₂SO₄. 10H₂O: $P2_1/c$, Z = 4, a = 11.512 (3), b = 10.370 (3), c = 12.847 (2) Å, $\beta = 107.789$ (10)°. Na₂B₄O₅(OH)₄. 8H₂O: C2/c, Z = 4, a = 11.885 (1), b = 10.654 (1), c = 12.206 (1) Å, $\beta = 106.623$ (5)°.

Intensity measurements were made with neutrons monochromatized by reflection at $2\theta = 52.5^{\circ}$ from (110) planes of a beryllium single crystal. Both specimens were enclosed in thin-walled vitreous silica cylinders. The beam divergence was about 1°; the wavelength was 1.0155 Å with a spread of about 1% full width at half maximum. Reflections were integrated in 2θ scans having 42 to 62 steps at intervals of 0.05° 2θ , with background measured at both ends. A preliminary absolute scale was obtained by comparison with reflections from a standard NaCl specimen. For the sulfate, a 44.3 mg specimen yielded 2789 nonextinguished data for $2\theta \le 75^\circ$ of which 2636 were symmetry-unique. Intensities of all h00 reflections with h odd were vanishingly small; the same evidence of pseudosymmetry was noted in the X-ray intensities by Ruben et al. (1961). For the borate, a 16.05 mg specimen vielded 2502 non-extinguished data for $2\theta \leq$ 95° of which 2373 were symmetry-unique; in addition, a sample of 139 extinguished reflections (*hkl* with h + kodd or hol with l odd) were scanned to confirm the space-group assignment. Absorption corrections were

^{*} Research sponsored by the Division of Energy Storage and the Division of Material Sciences, US Department of Energy, under contract W-7405-eng-26 with the Union Carbide Corporation.

⁺ Present address: Department of Chemistry, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA.

made by means of a 512-point Gaussian quadrature (Busing & Levy, 1957) (program DATALIB)* with linear absorption coefficients 0.0238 mm⁻¹ for the sulfate and 0.06975 mm^{-1} for the borate.

H atoms were located by means of Fourier syntheses with phases based on the X-ray structures with H atoms omitted; terms were omitted for which $|F_{o}F_{c}| <$ $\frac{1}{2}Nf_{\rm H}^2 \ln P$ with N = 80 (the number of omitted H atoms), $f_{\rm H}$ the coherent scattering amplitude of H corrected for estimated thermal motion, and P = 10. This condition limited the included terms to those having a value greater than P for the ratio of probabilities of $F_oF_c > 0$ and $F_oF_c < 0$ (Woolfson, 1956).

Full-matrix least-squares refinement (program XFLS4) minimized the function $\sum w(F_o^2 - yS^2F_c^2)^2$ with $w = [\sigma_{\text{stat}}^2 + (0.03F_o^2)^2]^{-1}$ where σ_{stat}^2 is the variance of F_o^2 computed from counting statistics. Extinction-correction factors y were formulated after Coppens & Hamilton (1970), using the anisotropic type 1 form for the sulfate and the isotropic form for the borate. Atomic scattering factors from the 1972 MIT compilation (Koester, 1972) were used as follows, all in units of 10^{-13} cm: $f_{\rm B} = 5.34$, $f_{\rm B}'' = 0.21$, $f_{\rm H} = -3.74$, $f_{\rm Na} = 3.62$, $f_{\rm O} = 5.803$, and $f_{\rm S} = 2.847$. Final measures of disagreement, defined as in Thiessen, Levy & Flaig (1978), were:

	R(F)	$R(F^2)$	$R(wF^2)$	σ_1
Sulfate All reflections (2636) $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ (1750)	0·153 0·087	0∙099 0∙082	0∙120 0∙098	1.083 1.144
Borate All reflections (2373) $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ (1837)	0∙094 0∙061	0∙067 0∙060	0∙089 0∙078	1∙027 1∙045.

Sulfate: The initial observed Fourier synthesis and a difference synthesis disclosed peaks of negative density at all the H atom sites proposed by Ruben et al. (1961), including those suggested to be fractionally occupied in statistical disorder. Least-squares fitting of occupancy factors for the disordered sites yielded 0.50 with a standard deviation of 0.01 for each. Subsequently, these factors were fixed at exactly 0.5. Thus the equal populations of the various disordered arrangements of water molecules, deduced by Ruben et al. (1961) to explain the residual entropy (Pitzer & Coulter, 1938; Brodale & Giauque, 1958) of $R \ln 2$ gibbs mol⁻¹, are confirmed.[†]

Refinement proceeded normally, first with isotropic extinction factors fitted to averages of symmetryequivalent structure factor squares, then with anisotropic extinction factors fitted to the individual values. Finally, with fixed scale and extinction parameters, structural parameters were fitted to averaged,

extinction-corrected data.* When refinement halted with measures of disagreement larger than usual satisfactory values, a difference Fourier synthesis was prepared which suggested an elaboration of the model for the sulfate ion - specifically two orientations differing by a rotation about one S-O bond direction. Accordingly, three O atoms [O(4), O(6), and O(7)]were replaced by two partially occupied sites each, as

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and siteoccupancy parameters for sodium sulfate decahydrate

Least-squares standard errors in the final digits are given in parentheses.

	Occupancy	x	У	Ζ
S(1)	1.000	0.2495 (6)	0.3601(5)	0.2578(4)
Na(2)	1.000	0.2547(5)	0.7472(7)	0.0145(4)
Na(3)	1.000	0.2457(5)	0.6126(5)	0.7604(4)
O(4')	0.247	0.2346(16)	0.3368(22)	0.3668(13)
O(4'')	0.753(15)	0.2636(5)	0.2887(7)	0.3603(4)
$\tilde{O}(5)$	1.000	0.1438(3)	0.3106(4)	0.1718(3)
O(6')	0.247	0.3598(15)	0.2813(22)	0.2546(16)
O(6'')	0.753	0.3576(4)	0.3443(8)	0.2340(10) 0.2238(4)
O(7')	0.247	0.2782(17)	0.4955(16)	0.2442(14)
O(7')	0.753	0.2702(17) 0.2208(7)	0.4969(10)	0.2748(5)
$\Omega(R)$	1.000	0.1233(4)	0.4553(5)	0.6403(3)
O(0)	1.000	0.3672(5)	0.4533(5)	0.9794(3)
0(3)	1.000	0.1018(4)	0.3467(5)	0.0456(4)
O(10)	1.000	0.3967(3)	0.3407(3)	0.3430(4)
O(12)	1.000	0.1131(4)	0.5471(4) 0.6102(5)	0.3799(3)
O(12)	1.000	0.1131(4) 0.2880(4)	0.0102(3)	0.6118(3)
O(13)	1.000	0.3680(4)	0.0092(3)	0.0498(3)
O(14)	1.000	0.1439(4)	0.7111(4) 0.7142(4)	0.1435(3)
O(15)	1.000	0.3347(4)	0.7143(4)	0.3782(3)
O(10)	1.000	0.1310(3)	0.5011(5)	0.4423(4)
U(1/)	1.000	0.3798(4)	0.5585(5)	0.0812(5)
$\Pi(0A)$	1.000	0.1412(7)	0.3045(9)	0.6540(5)
$H(\delta B)$	0.500	0.1370(12)	0.4780(10)	0.5698(13)
	0.300	0.0323(18)	0.4329(10)	0.0187(12)
H(9A')	0.247	0.3388(04)	0.1238(89)	0.3515(59)
$\Pi(9A^{\circ})$	0.753	0.3335(13)	0.1321(15)	0.308/(14)
H(9B)	0.500	0.4557(20)	0.4428(16)	0.8835(13)
H(9C)	0.500	0.3069(14)	0.4/84(1/)	0.9535(14)
H(10A)	1.000	0.1268(6)	0.3348(7)	0.0238(6)
H(10B)	1.000	0.1495(7)	0.2920(8)	0.9153(6)
H(IIA)	1.000	0.3485(6)	0.3329(7)	0.5044(5)
H(IIB)	1.000	0.3691(6)	0.2868(8)	0.6235(6)
H(12A)	1.000	0.1079 (6)	0.5240(9)	0.9014(5)
H(12B)	1.000	0.0300(6)	0.6402 (8)	0.8509 (5)
H(13A)	1.000	0.3945(6)	0.5238 (8)	0.6265 (6)
H(13B)	1.000	0-4725 (6)	0.6341(8)	0.6861(5)
H(14A)	1.000	0.0592 (7)	0.7017 (8)	0.1118(5)
H(14B)	1.000	0.1707 (6)	0.6326 (8)	0.1801 (5)
H(15A)	1.000	0.3246 (6)	0.6333 (8)	0.3450(5)
H(15B)	1.000	0.4431(6)	0.7058 (8)	0.3990 (5)
H(16A')	0.247	0.1749 (63)	0.4897 (63)	0.4145 (52)
H(16A'')	0.753	0.1634 (15)	0.5210 (18)	0.3896 (14)
H(16 <i>B</i>)	0.500	0.0437 (20)	0.5587 (15)	0.4053 (11)
H(16C)	0.500	0.1418 (14)	0.5091 (19)	0.5128 (15)
H(17A')	0.247	0.3569 (48)	0.5228 (53)	0.1587 (42)
H(17A'')	0.753	0.3633 (11)	0.4972 (17)	0.1314 (11)
H(17 <i>B</i>)	0.500	0.3648 (12)	0.5124 (18)	0.0119 (19)
H(17C)	0.500	0.4713 (16)	0.5585 (17)	0.1084(10)

^{*} Computer programs used during this study are listed by Lisensky, Johnson & Levy (1976).

 $[\]dagger 1$ gibbs = 1 defined cal K⁻¹.

^{*} Fitting of scale and anisotropic extinction parameters was repeated near the end of the refinement sequence, but no significant changes occurred.

were three H atoms [H(9A), H(16A), and H(17A)] associated with three of the hydrogen bonds to these O atoms. This model was refined to the final result with one variable occupancy factor. Numerical stability was maintained by constraining the thermal parameters of the sites corresponding to the minor orientation (25% occupancy, singly primed symbols) to be isotropic.

Table 1 lists the final atomic coordinates.* Numbering of the heavy atoms conforms to that of Ruben *et al.* (1961). H atoms are labeled with the symbol H $\alpha\beta$, designating hydrogen atom β ($\beta = A, B, \text{ or } C$) bonded to O atom α ($8 \le \alpha \le 17$). A 'C' site is always statistically disordered with the corresponding B site. Primed and doubly primed symbols designate sites associated respectively with the minor and major orientations of the sulfate ion; the final value of the occupancy factor for the latter is 0.753 (15).

Borate: Least-squares refinement proceeded normally with only isotropic extinction corrections required and with no indication of disorder. Table 2 lists the atomic coordinates.* The atomic designations for Na, B, and O conform to those of Morimoto (1956), and those for H are similar to those described for the sulfate: H $\alpha\beta$ (4 $\leq \alpha \leq 9$, $\beta = A$ or B).

Table 2. Fractional atomic coordinates for sodium tetraborate decahydrate

Least-squares standard errors in the final digit are given in parentheses.

	x	у	Ζ
Na(1)	0	0	0
Na(2)	0	0.8469 (4)	0.2500
B(1)	0.0852(1)	0.3452(1)	0.2151(1)
B(2)	0.0978 (1)	0.4566(1)	0.3918(1)
O(1)	0	0.2672(2)	0.2500
O(2)	0.1544 (1)	0.4194(1)	0.3146(1)
O(3)	0.0194(1)	0.4346(1)	0.1243 (1)
O(4)	0.1614(1)	0.2712 (2)	0.1679 (1)
O(5)	0.1622(1)	0.5163 (2)	0-4895 (1)
O(6)	0.1240(1)	0.8463 (2)	0-4493 (1)
O(7)	0.1233(1)	0.0009 (2)	0.1956(1)
O(8)	0.1197(1)	0.1647 (2)	0-4615(1)
O(9)	0.1171(1)	0.7049 (2)	0.1718(1)
H(4)	0.7616(2)	0.2597 (3)	0.2807 (2)
H(5)	0.1127 (2)	0.4589 (3)	0.0379 (2)
H(6A)	0.3003 (3)	0.3857 (3)	0.0367 (3)
H(6 <i>B</i>)	0.8662 (3)	0.2109 (3)	0.4875 (3)
H(7A)	0.2984 (2)	0.4755 (3)	0.3064 (3)
H(7 <i>B</i>)	0.1276 (3)	0.0909 (3)	0.2021 (3)
H(8A)	0.9160 (3)	0.1958 (3)	0.1158 (3)
H(8 <i>B</i>)	0.8064 (3)	0.1290 (5)	0.0364 (4)
H(9A)	0.4004 (4)	0.1177 (4)	0.3362 (4)
H(9 <i>B</i>)	0.6152(3)	0.2359 (4)	0.0967 (3)

Description and discussion

The cation chains of Na ions coordinated by bridging water molecules, extending parallel to c, are illustrated in Fig. 1(a) (sulfate) and (b) (borate), in which the structures are viewed perpendicular to the (100) plane. In this and subsequent drawings comparing the two structures, the view directions were chosen to display the similarity of configuration of the Na-water coordination chains. To achieve this objective, it was necessary to reverse the direction of one axis in the plane of each figure, as indicated in the figure captions. All such drawings are made with the same scale and are referred to a right-handed axial system.

In the sulfate, a glide plane relates adjacent equivalent atoms along the chain. In the borate structure the analogous glide plane is a consequence of centers of symmetry which occur at Na(1) and diad axes which occur at Na(2). In spite of the difference in crystallographic symmetry, the two chains are remarkably similar. In both chains, hydrogen bonds occur between adjacent non-bridging water molecules: in the sulfate, $O(8) \cdots H \cdots O(16)$ and $O(9) \cdots H \cdots O(17)$ with the H atom site disordered, and in the borate, $O(9)-H \cdots$ O(8) without disorder.

The configuration of chains relative to one another is illustrated in Fig. 2(a) (sulfate) and (b) (borate), in which the chains are viewed end-on (along c*) and in which they form in section a centered nearly square net with anions occupying the edge midpoints. A pair of nearest-neighbor chains related to each other by inversion, corresponding to corner and center in Fig. 2(a) and (b), are shown viewed along **b** in Fig. 3(a)(sulfate) and (b) (borate) along with the outline of the cell edges. Here a principal difference between the two salts appears. Adjacent cation chains stack with opposite displacements parallel to the chain axis in such a way that interchain hydrogen bonds $O(8) \cdots H \cdots$ O(16) and $O(9) \cdots H \cdots O(17)$ (disordered H sites) are formed in the sulfate but not in the borate, where the atoms corresponding to the hydrogen-bonded pairs are O(8) and O(9). These displacements, though opposite in direction, are roughly equal in magnitude, namely about c/8, half the projected length of one Na coordination octahedron. In consequence, the β angles for the two salts are approximately equal in spite of the distinctly different packing arrangements.

Anions in the two salts form linear chains by hydrogen bonding and fill the channels at the edge midpoints of the nets described above. In the sulfate, adjacent SO_4^{2-} ions are glide-plane related and are bridged by two water molecules [O(10) and O(11)] not involved in the cation chains. Hydrogen bonds link the sulfate O atoms and the water molecules which bridge them with the water molecules of the cation chains. These aspects of the structure appear in Fig. 4(*a*) (viewed along **b**) and in Fig. 1(*a*) (viewed along **a***).

^{*} Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 33712 (28 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.



Fig. 1. (a) Sodium sulfate and (b) borax structures viewed perpendicular to the (100) plane. The c axis is horizontal, left to right. The b axis is vertical, upward in the sulfate and downward in the borate.



Fig. 2. (a) Sodium sulfate and (b) borax structures viewed perpendicular to the (001) plane. The b axis is vertical, upward, in both figures. The a axis is horizontal, left to right in the sulfate, and right to left in the borate.



Fig. 3. The configurations of adjacent sodium ion coordination chains in (a) sodium sulfate and (b) borax. The view direction is along the b axis. The c axis is horizontal, left to right, and the a axis points upward for the sulfate and downward for the borate. Some hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. The cell outline is centered on the inversion point $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},0)$ for the sulfate and on the inversion point $(\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4},0)$ for the borate.



Fig. 4. (a) Sodium sulfate and (b) borax structures viewed along the b axis, with the c axis horizontal, left to right. The a axis is upward in the sulfate, downward in the borate.

In the borate, as found by Morimoto (1956), each stoichiometric anion $B_4O_7^{2^-}$ incorporates two water molecules to form the $B_4O_5(OH)_4^2$ grouping with diad rotational symmetry shown in Fig. 5. Adjacent anions, related by centers of symmetry, are linked by two centrosymmetrically equivalent hydrogen bonds $O(5)-H\cdots O(3)$ to form infinite chains [see middle part of Fig. 1(b)]. Hydrogen bonds link remaining borate oxygen bridges and hydroxyl groups to water molecules in the cation chains, as can be seen in Fig. 4(b) (viewed along b) and Fig. 1(b) (viewed along a^*). The twofold symmetry of the $B_4O_5(OH_4)^{2^-}$ ion clearly gives rise to the higher space-group symmetry of the borate structure.

As described in connection with the structure refinement, the sulfate ion was fitted by means of two orientations related by a rotation of about $29 \cdot 8^{\circ}$ about the S-O(5) bond direction. In Fig. 1(*a*), the average configuration is shown, and the elongated shapes of the thermal ellipsoids of O(4), O(6), and O(7) are clearly seen. Light-weight dashed lines indicate the alternative hydrogen bonds formed when the minor orientation is assumed. The relationships are shown in greater detail

in Fig. 6, where the two orientations are superposed, with the ellipsoids at the sites corresponding to the major orientation accentuated. It would appear that the major (75%) component represents a close approximation to the equilibrium configuration and that the minor one is populated as a result of thermal excitation. If this is correct, a low-temperature study should revcal only the major configuration. On the assumption that the observed structure represents an equilibrium at $24 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ between the two states, the energy difference may be computed as $RT \ln (0.753/0.247) = 658 \pm 47$ cal mol⁻¹, the uncertainty corresponding to the least-squares estimate of the standard error for the occupancy parameter.

Bond distances and bond angles in the two compounds are presented in Tables 3 to 6 inclusive. The range of Na–O distances, $2 \cdot 39 - 2 \cdot 47$ Å in the sulfate and $2 \cdot 40 - 2 \cdot 46$ Å in the borate, indicates that the Na ion is situated in a rather broad potential well in each compound. There is no clear distinction in Na–O distance or O–Na–O angle between bridging and nonbridging water molecules.

The articulation of cation-water octahedra into



Fig. 5. The configuration of the tetraborate ion $B_4O_5(OH)_4^{2-}$ as it occurs in borax. The ellipsoids represent the surfaces enclosing fifty percent probability thermal displacement. The standard errors in the bond distances (Å) are 0.002 for B–O and 0.003 for O–H.



Fig. 6. A composite view of the sulfate ion and its surroundings in both orientations. The ellipsoids at sites corresponding to the major orientation (doubly primed symbols) are accentuated.

 Table 3. Distances (Å) and angles (°) in sodium sulfate
 decahydrate

Sodium ion coordination chain

Table	4.	Distances	(Å)	and	angles	(°)	in	sodium	
tetraborate decahydrate									

Na(3)	O(8) O(9) O(12) O(13) O(14) O(15) O(14) O(15) O(16) O(17) O(14) O(15) O(16) O(17) O(16) O(16)	2.385 (7) 2.389 (7) 2.450 (6) 2.474 (6) 2.474 (6) 2.420 (6) 2.432 (7) 177.3 (4) 91.8 (2) 86.3 (2) 91.0 (3) 88.7 (3) 87.1 (2) 94.7 (2) 86.6 (3) 93.7 (3) 177.2 (3) 91.3 (3)	Na(2 O(8)–Na(3 O(9)–Na(3 O(12)–Na(3 O(13)–Na(3	$\begin{array}{c} -O(12) \\ -O(13) \\ -O(14) \\ -O(15) \\ -O(16) \\ -O(17) \\ 0 \\ -O(17) \\ 0 \\ -O(13) \\ -O(14) \\ -O(15) \\ 0 \\ -O(14) \\ -O(15) \\ 0 \\ -O(14) \\ -O(15) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -O(14) \\ -O(15) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -O(14) \\ 0 \\ -O(14) \\ 0 \\ -O(14) \\ 0 \\ -O(14) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 2.450 (7) \\ 2.440 (7) \\ 2.390 (6) \\ 2.402 (6) \\ 2.454 (8) \\ 2.425 (8) \\ 89.0 (2) \\ 92.3 (2) \\ 174.9 (3) \\ 87.5 (2) \\ 89.6 (2) \\ 172.9 (3) \\ 91.6 (3) \\ 91.6 (3) \\ 176.9 (3) \\ 97.1 (2) \\ 85.8 (2) \end{array}$
O(15)-Na(2)- O(16)-Na(2)- O(8)-Na(3)- -	O(17) O(16) O(17) O(17) O(17) O(9) O(12)	90.5 (3) 86.7 (2) 91.6 (3) 178.2 (3) 92.9 (3) 92.1 (3)	O(14)-Na(3 Na(2)-O(12 -O(13 -O(14 -O(14)-O(15))	-O(15) 3)-O(15) 2)-Na(3) 3)- 3)- 3)- 3)- 3)-	93.5 (2) 83.4 (2) 91.8 (2) 91.0 (2) 93.6 (2) 93.4 (2)
Sulfate ion					
	(Corrected) ^a		((Corrected) ^a
S(1)-O(5) 1 S(1)-O(4") 1 -O(6") 1 -O(7") 1	463 (7) 475 (6) 447 (7) 463 (7)	1·481 (7) 1·487 (6) 1·467 (7) 1·486 (7)	S(1)–O(4') –O(6') –O(7')	1.48 (2) 1.52 (2) 1.46 (2)	1·49 (2) 1·54 (2) 1·47 (2)
O(5)-S(1)-O -O O(4")-S(1)-O O(6")-S(1)-O	(4'') (6'') (7'') (6'') (7'') (7'')	109.7 (4) 109.0 (4) 108.7 (5) 110.0 (5) 109.4 (5) 110.0 (5)	O(5)-S(1) O(4')-S(1) O(6')-S(1)	-O(4') -O(6') -O(7') -O(6') -O(7') -O(7')	1 10·3 (7) 107·4 (7) 1 14·3 (7) 106·0 (10) 1 11·4 (10) 106·9 (11)
Non disardense	water b -	nd analas (9	`		
O(10) 108.9 O(13) 103.7	water bo (7) 7 (7)	O(11) O(14)) 107·1 (7) 103·9 (7)	O(12) O(15)	105·4 (7) 104·4 (7)

(a) Corrected for the effect of thermal motion, by means of the riding model (International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1974).

chains is by means of shared edges, supplemented by hydrogen bonds between pairs of non-bridging water molecules on neighboring octahedra. The chains are zig-zag in the (100) plane [see bottom part of Fig. 1(a) and (b) with a vertex at alternate sodium ions [Na(2) in the borate and Na(3) in the sulfate], at which the two octahedral edges shared with neighbors are nonparallel, in contrast to the remaining octahedra where the shared edges are opposite and nearly parallel. There is also a smaller bend in the chain at each shared edge, since adjacent octahedra are tilted out of the parallel configuration. In part, this tilt is to accommodate the intrachain hydrogen bonds, which are shorter $(2 \cdot 8 - 2 \cdot 9)$ Å) than the distance between octahedral centers (3.46-3.52 Å); these tilt angles are $15.32(11)^{\circ}$ and $15.93 (11)^{\circ}$ in the sulfate and $2.13 (5)^{\circ}$ in the borate, as measured by the dihedral angle between planes fitted to the Na ion and the square of O atoms built upon the

Sodium ion coordination chain								
Na(1)-O(6)	2.399 (2)	Na(2)—O(6)	2.458 (2)				
-O(7)	2.418(2)		-O(7)	2.415 (3)				
-O(8)	2.388 (2)		-O(9)	2.428 (3)				
O(6) - Na(1) - O(7)	89.50 (5)	O(6)-Na(2)—O(9)	97.97 (9)				
-O(8)	89.63 (6)	O(7)-Na(2)—O(7)	94.46 (15)				
O(7) - Na(1) - O(8)	88.30 (5)		-O(9)	81.63 (6)				
O(6) - Na(2) - O(6)	179.68 (21)		-O(9)	172.77 (10)				
-O(7)	88.21 (8)	O(9)–Na(2)O(9)	102.89 (17)				
-O(7)	92.00 (8)	Na(1)-O(6))—Na(2)	90.86 (8)				
-O(9)	81.83 (7)	Na(1)-O(7))—Na(2)	91-44 (5)				
Borate ion								
B(1) - O(1)	1.464 (2)	B(2)—O(2)	1.363 (2)				
-O(2)	1.485 (2)		-O(3)	1.370 (2)				
-O(3)	1.500 (2)		-O(5)	1.374 (2)				
-O(4)	1.440 (2)							
O(1)-B(1)-O(2)	109.39 (10)	O(2)-B(2)-O(5)	118.20 (11)				
-O(3)	108.52 (10)	O(3)-B(2) - O(5)	119.61 (11)				
-O(4)	111.85 (12)	B(1)-O((4) - H(4)	113.60 (20)				
O(2) - B(1) - O(3)	108.39 (11)	B(2)-O(5)-H(5)	111.51 (20)				
O(4)	110.75 (11)	B(1)-O(2)—B(2)	116-93 (10)				
O(3) - B(1) - O(4)	107.85 (11)	B(1)-O(3)-B(2)	120.56 (11)				
O(2)-B(2)-O(3)	122.19 (11)	B(1)-O(1) - B(1)	110.81 (15)				
Water molecule bond angles (°)								
O(6) 103.9 (3)	O(7)	104.4 (3)	O(8)	107.7 (4)				
	O(9)	106-8 (4)	. ,	()				

shared edge. The sense of this bend is opposite to that at Na(2) (borate) and Na(3) (sulfate) and thus tends to straighten the chain and to lengthen the *c* axis repeat distance. The considerably smaller tilt angle in the borate cation chain is only partly a consequence of the longer hydrogen bond; it results mostly from a sizeable distortion of the Na(2) octahedron away from regularity. Thus the O(9)-Na(2)-O(9) angle (Table 4) is 102.9°, much greater than 90°, the value for a regular octahedron. The small tilt angle in the borate is correlated with the shorter *c* axis repeat distance. Presumably this configuration best accommodates the hydrogen bonds between the cation and anion chains.

In each structure there are a total of 20 hydrogen bonds per formula unit, of which two are within the cation chains. In the borate, two hydrogen bonds link the anions and 16 interconnect cation chains with anions. In the sulfate, the bridging of anions by water molecules accounts for four hydrogen bonds, the interaction of adjacent cation chains accounts for two, and the remaining 12 interconnect cation chains with anions or anion-bridging water molecules. As judged by interatomic distances, there is no great variation in bond strength, except perhaps for the $O(5)-H\cdots O(3)$ bond in the borate, which is the shortest; however, the borate arrangement, having more links between cation and anion entities, is the more favorable and accounts for the greater stability of borax against dehydration.

The criticism and suggestions of our colleagues G. M. Brown and W. R. Busing are gratefully acknowledged.

Table 5. Hydrogen bonds in Na₂SO₄. 10H₂O

					Distan	nce (Å)		
Ider	ntifica	ation ^a		Uncorrected	Corrected ^c			Angle (°)
α	β	γ	Type ^b	O-H	O-H	$H \cdots O$	00	0–H · · · O
Bety	ween	singly co	ordinated water mo	lecules in the sar	ne chain			
8	В	16	W(1) - W(1)	1.00 (2)	1.02 (2)	1.83(2)	2 70 (1)	162.3 (14)
16	С	8	W(1) - W(1)	1.03 (2)	1.06 (2)	$1 \cdot 80(2)$	2.79(1)	161.0 (16)
9	С	17	W(1) - W(1)	1.00(2)	1.03 (2)	1.80(2)	2, 70, (1)	167.3 (15)
17	B	9	W(1) - W(1)	0.98 (3)	0.99 (3)	1.83 (3)	2.79(1)	165.7 (14)
Betv	veen	singly co	ordinated water mo	lecules in adjace	nt chains			
8	С	16	W(1) - W(1)	1.00 (2)	1.02 (2)	1.81 (2)	2.80(1)	170.4 (13)
16	B	8	W(1) - W(1)	0.97 (2)	0.98 (2)	1.83 (2)	2.80 (1)	169.5 (12)
9	B	17	W(1) - W(1)	1.00 (2)	1.03 (2)	1.81 (2)	2.80(1)	168.5 (14)
17	С	9	W(1) - W(1)	1.00 (2)	1.02 (2)	1.81 (2)∫	2.00(1)	165-3 (12)
Betv	veen	doubly c	oordinated water an	id uncoordinated	water			
12	A	10	W(2)-W	0.95 (1)	0.97 (1)	1.93 (1)	2.88(1)	177.6 (6)
13	A	11	W(2)-W	0.94 (1)	0.96 (1)	1.93 (1)	2.87(1)	176.0 (6)
14	A	10	W(2)-W	0.96 (1)	0.98 (1)	1.84 (1)	2.79(1)	170.0 (8)
15	В	11	W(2)-W	0.97 (1)	1.00(1)	1.86(1)	2.82(1)	166-3 (7)
Bet	ween	water an	id sulfate oxygen, co	ommon orientatic	on			
8	A	5	W(1)–O	0.97 (1)	0.99 (1)	1.83 (1)	2.78(1)	169.3 (7)
10	A	5	W–O	0.96 (1)	0.98 (1)	1.87(1)	2.82(1)	169.2 (6)
12	В	5	W(2)–O	0.96 (1)	1.00 (1)	2.00(1)	2.94 (1)	167.0 (6)
Bety	ween	water an	d sulfate oxygen, m	ajor orientation				
10	В	4''	W–O	0.95(1)	0.97(1)	1.87(1)	2.81(1)	169.1 (8)
11	A	4''	W–O	0.97(1)	0.99(1)	1.87 (1)	2.83(1)	174.1 (7)
9	A''	4''	W(1)–O	0.95 (2)	0.97 (2)	1.80 (2)	2.75-(1)	174.7 (17)
11	B	6″	W–O	0.96 (1)	0.98(1)	1.90(1)	2.84 (1)	165.2 (6)
13	B	6″	W(2)–O	0.98(1)	1.00(1)	1.96(1)	2.92(1)	169.2 (8)
17	A''	6"	W(1)–O	0.96 (1)	0.99 (2)	1.99 (2)	2.94 (1)	166.0 (16)
14	B	7"	W(2)–O	0.94 (1)	0.96 (1)	1.85 (1)	2.78(1)	169.5 (6)
15	A	7"	W(2)–O	0.96 (1)	0.98 (1)	1.84 (1)	2.78(1)	165-9 (7)
16	A''	7''	W(1)O	0.96 (2)	0.98 (2)	1.87 (2)	2.80(1)	161.8 (18)
Bet	weer	n water a	nd sulfate oxygen, m	ninor orientation				
10	B	4′	W–O	0.95(1)	0.97 (1)	1.87 (2)	2.81 (2)	170.9 (10)
11	A	4'	W–O	0.97 (1)	0.99 (1)	1.85 (2)	2.80 (2)	166.5 (10)
16	A'	4′	W(1)–O	1.01 (7)	1.05 (7)	1.90 (8)	2.91 (2)	169.6 (62)
11	B	6'	W–O	0.96 (1)	0.98 (1)	1.86 (2)	2.75 (2)	154.1 (10)
13	B	6'	W(2)–O	0.98 (1)	1.00 (1)	2.04 (2)	3.01 (2)	168.9 (10)
9	A'	6'	W(1)-O	0.86 (9)	0.90 (9)	2.05 (9)	2.88 (3)	163-1 (6)
14	B	7'	W(2)–O	0.94 (1)	0.96 (1)	1.90 (2)	2.79 (2)	157.4 (9)
15	A	7'	W(2)-O	0.96 (1)	0.98 (1)	1.89 (2)	2.82 (2)	162.7 (8)
17	A'	7'	W(1)–O	1.16 (6)	1.18 (6)	1.64 (6)	2.77 (2)	159.7 (44)

(a) The symbol (αβγ) designates the hydrogen bond O_a-H_{aβ}···O_y.
(b) The symbol W(1) denotes 'terminal' water coordinated to only one Na⁺ ion, W(2) denotes bridging water coordinated to two Na⁺ ions, W denotes water not coordinated to Na⁺ ions, and O denotes sulfate oxygen.

(c) Corrected for the effect of thermal motion by means of the riding model (International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1974).

Table 6. Hydrogen bonds in borax

				Distance (Å)					
Ident	ifica	ation	а	Uncorrected	Corrected ^c			Angle (°)	
a	β	γ	Type ^b	O-H	O-H	$H \cdots O$	00	$O-H\cdots O$	
4		9	OH, W(1)	0.958 (3)	0.974 (3)	1.937 (3)	2.883 (2)	168-9 (3)	
5		3	OH, O	0.982 (3)	0.991 (3)	1.755 (3)	2.731(2)	172.8(3)	
6	A	5	W(2), OH	0.963 (3)	0.984 (3)	1.891 (3)	2.842(2)	168.6 (3)	
6	B	4	W(2), OH	0.964 (4)	0.985 (4)	1.940 (4)	2.865 (2)	160.1 (3)	
7	A	2	W(2), O	0.976 (3)	0.991 (3)	1.843 (3)	2.818(2)	176.7 (3)	
7	B	4	W(2), OH	0.962 (4)	0.988 (4)	2.031 (4)	2.950 (2)	159.2 (3)	
8	A	1	W(1), O	0.975 (3)	0.986 (3)	1.823 (3)	2.790 (2)	170.4 (3)	
8	B	5	W(1), OH	0.951 (4)	0.998 (4)	2.259 (4)	3.148 (2)	155.4 (4)	
9	A	3	W(1), O	0.951 (4)	0.986 (4)	2.164(4)	3.097 (2)	166.6 (4)	
9	B	8	W(1), W(1)	0.969 (4)	0.996 (4)	1.974 (4)	2.927 (2)	167.2 (4)	

(a) The symbol $(\alpha \beta \gamma)$ designates the hydrogen bond $O_{\alpha} - H_{\alpha\beta} \cdots O_{\gamma}$. (b) The symbol OH denotes terminal hydroxide ion in the anion, O bridging oxygen in the anion, W(1) terminal water coordinated to one Na⁺ ion, and W(2) bridging water coordinated to two Na⁺ ions.

(c) Corrected for the effect of thermal motion by means of the riding model (International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1974).

References

BRODALE, G. & GIAUQUE, W. F. (1958). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 80, 2042–2044.

BUSING, W. R. & LEVY, H. A. (1957). Acta Cryst. 10, 180– 182.

COPPENS, P. & HAMILTON, W. C. (1970). Acta Cryst. A26, 71–83.

International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV, p. 323. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.

- KOESTER, L. (1972). Private communication from C. G. SHULL, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- LISENSKY, G. C., JOHNSON, C. K. & LEVY, H. A. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 2188–2197.

Мокімото, N. (1956). *Mineral. J.* 2, 1–18.

PITZER, K. S. & COULTER, L. V. (1938). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 60, 1310–1313.

RUBEN, H. W., TEMPLETON, D. H., ROSENSTEIN, R. D. & OLOVSSON, I. (1961). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 83, 820–824.

- TELKES, M. (1952). Ind. Eng. Chem. 44, 1308–1310.
- THIESSEN, W. E., LEVY, H. A. & FLAIG, B. D. (1978). Acta Cryst. B34, 2495-2502.
- WOOLFSON, M. M. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 804-810.

Acta Cryst. (1978). B34, 3510-3514

Structure d'Hydrogénoorthophosphates de Thallium(I). I. Structure du Pentahydrogénoorthophosphate de Thallium(I) TlH₅(PO₄)₂

PAR YVES ODDON, JEAN-RENÉ VIGNALOU ET AYMOND TRANQUARD

Laboratoire de Physico-Chimie Minérale III, Université Claude Bernard, Lyon I, 43 boulevard du 11 novembre 1918, 69621 Villeurbanne, France

et Gérard Pèpe

Centre des Mécanismes de la Croissance Cristalline, Faculté des Sciences de Saint-Jérôme, 13397 Marseille CEDEX 4, France

(Reçu le 24 mars 1978, accepté le 8 juin 1978)

The crystals of TlH₅(PO₄)₂ are monoclinic (C2/c) with cell parameters a = 10.307 (3), b = 7.748 (2), c = 9.609 (3) Å and $\beta = 100.80$ (3)°, Z = 4. The crystal structure was solved by Patterson and Fourier methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares calculations to R = 0.038 on the 876 independent reflexions measured. The structure is different from KH₅(PO₄)₂; the Tl⁺ ion is six-coordinated while the K⁺ ion is eight-coordinated. In the case of TlH₅(PO₄)₂ the short hydrogen bond (2.43 Å) is necessarily symmetric.

Introduction

La détermination de la structure cristalline de $TlH_4(PO_4)_2$ par diffraction de rayons X entre dans le cadre d'une étude systématique effectuée au laboratoire sur les hydrogénoorthophosphates de thallium(I) en tant qu'hydrogénocomposés.

La préparation de ce sel de thallium a été conduite à partir de l'étude du diagramme de solubilité ternaire $(H_2O-H_3PO_4-TIH_2PO_4)$ à 25 °C (Oddon, Boinon & Tranquard, 1975). Des cristaux en forme d'aiguille (4 à 5 mm de long, 0.2 mm de diamètre) translucides et incolores sont ainsi obtenus dans le domaine d'existence de la phase $TIH_5(PO_4)_2$.

Ce travail a été entrepris dans le but de mieux connaître l'ion Tl⁺ déjà étudié dans un certain nombre d'autres composés. Une analyse comparative de la structure de TlH₅(PO₄)₂ avec celle d'un composé isotype KH₅(PO₄)₂ (Philippot & Lindqvist, 1971; Philippot, Richard, Roudault & Maurin, 1972) permettra de voir les modifications structurales résultant du remplacement de l'ion alcalin K⁺ par l'ion Tl⁺ présentant un rayon ionique du même ordre de grandeur [1,40 Å pour Tl⁺ et de 1,33 Å pour K⁺ (Pauling, 1960)].

Données expérimentales

Les études radiocristallographiques préliminaires effectuées sur chambre de précession ont mis en évidence l'absence systématique des réflexions du type h + k = 2n + 1 sur hkl et des réflexions du type l = 2n + 1 sur h0l; les groupes d'espace Cc et C2/c répondent à ces extinctions. Les affinements effectués ultérieurement attestent la présence d'un centre de symétrie, le sel appartient donc au groupe spatial C2/c. Les mesures d'intensité des réflexions ont été effectuées sur diffracto-